

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,981	02/28/2000	IZUO AOKI	NIW-009USRCE3	7006
959 7590 03/04/2008 LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP			EXAMINER	
ONE POST OF	FICE SQUARE		PRICE, ELVIS O	
BOSTON, MA 02109-2127			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/486,981 AOKI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ELVIS O. PRICE 1621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 February 2008. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4.12-19 and 28-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4.12-19 and 28-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SDICE)
 Paper No(s)Mail Date

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-4, 12-19 and 28-31 are pending in the application.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The structure adjacent to the structure of formula II is not labeled (it appears to be formula III).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4, 15, 19 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 4 has been amended to further define and limit the variables R₃₆, R₃₃, R₃₄ and R₃₇. However, the original specification and/or claims does not offer support for such modified claim language. Thus, the new language is of a different scope from that which was originally claimed and is deemed by the Examiner as new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1621

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

 Considering objective evidence present in the application.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3, 12-14, 16-18 and 28-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsaesser et al. {EP 0668540 A1; see English equivalent, US Pat. 5,753,405}.

Elsaesser et al. teach the presently claimed phenolic molecular compounds with carbonyl functional groups substituted on the phenol rings and wherein the present variables X, A, and B, as defined in the present formulas II through V, are a CH₂ group (see Formula III at the top of Col. 4). The difference between the presently claimed invention and what is taught by the Elsaesser et al. reference is that the scope of molecular compounds presently claimed overlaps the scope of molecular compounds taught by Elsaesser et al.

The presently claimed invention would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, in view of the Elsaesser et al. reference, because Elsaesser et al. teach molecular compounds which are encompassed by the presently claimed molecular compounds. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to obtain

Art Unit: 1621

the small genus of phenolic derivatives, taught by Elsaesser et al. in formula III, so as to use them as binders. Therefore the presently claimed molecular compounds would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/5/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants arguments, with respect to the newly amended claim 4 (and claims dependent on claim 4), are held to be moot as a result of the new matter conclusion by the Examiner.

Applicants argue that Elsaesser et al. exemplified compounds do not have a sulfonyl or a carbonyl group at the ortho position relative to the phenol hydroxyl group as required in applicants' presently claimed compounds.

This argument is not persuasive because Elsaesser et al. in fact teach phenolic compounds, as represented by Formula III in column 4 of the Elsaesser et al. reference, wherein a carbonyl group can potentially be ortho to the phenol hydroxyl group.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1621

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elvis O. Price whose telephone number is 571 272-0644. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne L. Eyler can be reached on 571 272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

Art Unit: 1621

/Elvis O. Price/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621